



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,206	03/06/2000	Derek Hoeim	777.369US1	8410
41505	7590	10/20/2006	EXAMINER TRAN, MYLINH T	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/519,206	HOEIM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mylinh Tran	2179	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 September 2006.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 1,6-8,24 and 29-31 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1, 6-8, 24 and 29-31 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 09/21/06 has been entered.

Applicant's Amendment filed 08/15/06 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1 and 24 have been amended. Claims 5 and 28 have been canceled. However, limitations of amended claims have not been found to be patentable over prior art of record, therefore, claims 1, 6-8, 24 and 29-31 are rejected under the same ground of rejection as set forth below.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-8, 24 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koppolu (US. 5,706,458).

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that

the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

As to claims 1 and 24, Koppolu discloses teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding apparatus for a unified user interface for an application comprising the steps/means for one or more component tables (commands that map to keystrokes, figure 1 , 112, underlined W of WordPerfect Help, underlined T of Technical Microsoft Word), wherein each component table corresponds to a component of the application (the commands under Help menu correspond to components of the application), each component table includes at least one command for the corresponding component (at least one command "Technical Support" is included in "Help" component table), and each component table includes a description for adding the command to the user interface (figure 22, column 14, line 4 through column 16, line 10); wherein each command has an associated priority (column 14, line 65 through column 15, line 5, each command has its priority based on the Count Array at figure 10);

a master table merged from the one or more component tables, said master table includes available command and available command vectors for the application (the commands under Help menu correspond to components of the application), each command and command vector having a unique object identifier and a flag specifying visibility, and said master table comprising commands that map to keystrokes (figure 11, each menu table (1104, 1106) comprises a list of commands that map to keystrokes. Therefore, the merged menu list comprises a merged "commands that map to key strokes" list as well as the master table).

Koppolu fails to clearly teach each command and command vector having a unique object identifier. However, it was well known in the computer art that Koppolu suggest the feature because each command "Technical Support" of the Word Processor and Graphic programs has a unique object identifier in order to get the desired "Technical Support" command when the user requests. Koppolu fails to clearly teach each command and command vector having a flag specifying visibility. However, it was well known in the computer art that Koppolu suggests the feature. In the Koppolu's system, the selected commands (under File menu) are displayed in black color, while other commands are displayed in gray color. The flags specify visibility or invisibility of their commands.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known implementation that each command having a flag specifying visibility with the teachings of Koppolu. Motivation of the combination would have been to identify user available property selected.

As to claims 6 and 29, Koppolu also discloses an additional component table and wherein the master table is merged from the at least one component table and the additional component table (the master table merges container menus with sever menus, column 5, lines 1-24, figure 11 , each menu table (1104, 1106) comprises a list of commands that map to keystrokes. Therefore, the merged menu list comprises a merged "commands that map to key strokes" list as well as the master table).

As to claims 7 and 30, Koppolu teaches a removed component table of the at least one component table corresponding to a removed component and wherein the master table is merged from the remaining component tables of the at least one component table. It was inherent that the master table merges only "commands that map to the keystrokes" tables when server menu table of "commands that map to the keystrokes" is removed from a list.

As to claims 8 and 31, Koppolu also teaches the master table including

available commands ("Quick Preview", "WordPerfect Help", "Technical Support" and "About Microsoft Word"), menus and toolbars and the location of each available command (figure 1).

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant argues that Koppolu does not teach or suggest "each command has an associated priority". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As indicated in the specification, page 13, lines 16-20, the limitation "each command has an associated priority" is explained as cited: "priorities are assigned to each menu, toolbars, group and command. Figure 3C shows one example of utilizing priorities of items in a menu. Each group has a numeric priority within a menu or toolbar. Each command has a numeric priority within a group. For one embodiment, the space of numeric priorities is large (32 bits).

Having priorities permits components to insert their commands at precise positions within the menu or toolbars".

The Koppolu's system shows the Count Array at figure 10 as the space of numeric priorities. Each different numeric Count Array has its own number (from 0 to 5) and is inserted by a command. Each command is inserted into the Array based on its priority. Because every command has a different priority to insert into the command table, they are inserted into the command table in order.

### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141 .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179



WEILUN LO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER